

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/072,907	02/12/2002	Soo Seok Choi	1567.1022	3556
21171 7	7590 10/01/2003			3
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		·	EXAMINER	
			ALEJANDRO	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A-S
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
	10/072,907	CHOI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond Alejandro	1745
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunicati n Period for Reply	appears nth cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a role. In reply within the statutory minimum of thire are will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 February 2002 .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		
closed in accordance with the practice uno Disposition of Claims	der Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and <b>Application Papers</b>	/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ c	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	nents have been received.	·
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	opplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)	resuc priority under 35 U.S.C.	33 120 dilu/01 121.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Art Unit: 1745

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-17 and 38-39, drawn to a lithium-sulfur battery comprising specific components, classified in class 429, subclass 218.1.
  - II. Claims 18-28, drawn to a method of preparing a positive electrode by coating, classified in class 427, subclass 58.
  - III. Claims 29-37, drawn to a positive electrode comprising a current collector, classified in class 429, subclass 233.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects, for example, the battery is a power generating device while the method is for preparing an electrochemical component i.e. the positive electrode per se.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the battery does not require the specific positive electrode, that is, the battery

Art Unit: 1745

can comprise any other electrochemical active material; or (as admitted by the applicants) a positive electrode comprising a conductive agent and a binder themselves; or a positive electrode comprising a substrate or current collector. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing an electrochemical feature.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, (as admitted by the applicants) a method not including the current collector per se; or a method coating a plasticizer on the current collector, or without coating the current collector; or without adding a conductive agent and a binder per se; or by slurry impregnation.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, further restriction is required. Thus, applicant must elect one of the above group and one of the species below depending on the elected group.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Art Unit: 1745

Species of Group I (applicant must elect one of these species if Group I is finally elected):

Species Ia- positive electrode including the active sulfur only;

Species Ib- positive electrode including the current collector;

Species Ic- positive electrode including the plasticizer to generate pores on the current collector;

Species of Group II (applicant must elect one of these species if Group II is finally elected):

Species IIa- method for preparing the positive electrode without adding the plasticizer;

Species IIb- method for preparing the positive electrode comprising adding plasticizer to generate pores on the current collector;

Species of Group III (applicant must elect one of these species if Group III is finally elected):

Species IIIa- positive active material including the active sulfur only;

Species IIIb- positive active material including the conductive agent and binder;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1745

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. A telephone call was made to Michael D. Stein on 09/23/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1745

Page 6

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro Examiner Art Unit 1745

Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700